arXiv:1410.3316v4 [math.AG] 27 Apr 2015

A NEW CONJECTURE, A NEW INVARIANT, AND A NEW NON-SPLITTING RESULT

DAVID B. MASSEY

Abstract. We prove a new non-splitting result for the cohomology of the Milnor fiber, reminiscent of the classical result proved independently by Lazzeri, Gabrielov, and Lê in 1973-74.

We do this while exploring a conjecture of Bobadilla about a stronger version of our non-splitting result. To explore this conjecture, we define a new numerical invariant for hypersurfaces with 1-dimensional critical loci: the beta invariant. The beta invariant is an invariant of the ambient topological-type of the hypersurface, is non-negative, and is algebraically calculable. Results about the beta invariant remove the topology from Bobadilla's conjecture and turn it into a purely algebraic question.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ is an open neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and that $f : (\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a complex analytic function with a 1-dimensional critical locus at the origin, i.e., $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f = 1$. We use coordinates $(z_0, \ldots, z_n)$ on $\mathcal{U}$ and, to omit the non-reduced curve case, we assume that $n \geq 2$, which implies that f is reduced.

We assume that L is a linear form which is generic enough so that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma\big(f_{|_{V(L)}}\big) = 0$. For convenience, possibly after a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that L is the first coordinate z_0, so that we have $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma\big(f_{|_{V(z_0)}}\big) = 0$.

We assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is chosen (e.g., as a small enough open ball) so that, for each irreducible component C of Σf:

- C contains the origin;
- C is contained in the vanishing locus $V(f)$ of f; and
- $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is homeomorphic to a punctured disk.

Furthermore, we assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is so small that, for each irreducible component C of Σf:

- the isomorphism-type of the reduced integral cohomology groups $\widetilde{H}^*(F_{f,\mathbf{p}}; \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{p} \in C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. This is the same isomorphism-type as the reduced cohomology at $\mathbf{p}$ of the Milnor fiber of the hyperplane slice $f_{|_{V(z_0 - z_0(\mathbf{p}))}}$, for $\mathbf{p} \in C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ close enough to $\mathbf{0}$. Such a slice yields an isolated critical point at $\mathbf{p}$, and so this cohomology is non-zero in a single degree, namely degree $(n-1)$, and
$$\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{p}}; \mathbb{Z}) \ \cong \ \mathbb{Z}^{\mathring{\mu}_C},$$
where $\mathring{\mu}_C$ is the Milnor number at $\mathbf{p}$ of $f_{|_{V(z_0 - z_0(\mathbf{p}))}}$.

We can now state the classic non-splitting result, proved independently by Lê in [8], Lazzeri in [5], and Gabrielov in [4] in 1973-1974.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32B15, 32C35, 32C18, 32B10.
Key words and phrases. Milnor fiber, non-splitting, 1-dimensional critical locus, hypersurface, invariant.

Theorem 1.1. (Lê-Lazzeri-Gabrielov) *Suppose that the Milnor number of $f_{|_{V(z_0)}}$ at the origin is equal to*

$$\sum_C (C \cdot V(z_0))_{\mathbf{0}} \overset{\circ}{\mu}_C,$$

where the sum is over the irreducible components C of Σf and $(C \cdot V(z_0))_{\mathbf{0}}$ is the intersection number (which would be the multiplicity of C at $\mathbf{0}$ if z_0 were generic enough). That is, suppose that the Milnor number in the $z_0 = 0$ slice "splits" over the critical points of f in the slice where $z_0 = t$ for a small value of $t \neq 0$.

Then, in fact, Σf has a single irreducible component which is smooth and is transversely intersected by $V(z_0)$ at $\mathbf{0}$.

Remark 1.2. We have stated the above theorem in a slightly more general form than the original statements, but the proofs remain the same.

We should also comment that there is a pleasant priority "dispute" as to which of Lê, Lazzeri, or Gabrielov first proved the above result. Many years ago, we contacted all three authors, and each one claimed that one of the other two proved the result first.

Now, roughly 40 years after Theorem 1.1 was proved, Javier Fernández de Bobadilla has made a conjecture, which looks like it should be related to Theorem 1.1:

Conjecture 1.3. (Fernández de Bobadilla) *Suppose that the critical locus of f has a single irreducible component C and that the isomorphism-type of the cohomology groups $\widetilde{H}^*(F_{f,\mathbf{p}};\mathbb{Z})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{p} \in C$, i.e., suppose that $\widetilde{H}^*(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero only in degree $(n-1)$ and*

$$\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \ \cong \ \mathbb{Z}^{\overset{\circ}{\mu}_C}.$$

Then, C is smooth.

However, this conjecture does **not** follow from Theorem 1.1 (in any way that has yet been tried) and the conjecture remains a conjecture.

Before we continue, we give the obvious **generalized Bobadilla conjecture** for the case where Σf may have more than a single irreducible component:

Conjecture 1.4. *Suppose that $\widetilde{H}^*(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero only in degree $(n-1)$ and*

$$\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \ \cong \ \bigoplus_C \mathbb{Z}^{\overset{\circ}{\mu}_C},$$

where the sum is over all irreducible components C of Σf. Then, Σf has a single irreducible component, which is smooth.

This paper represents our initial attack on the problem. Here, after recalling earlier definitions and results, we obtain the following new results:

(1) In Definition 3.1, we define a new invariant $\beta_f = \beta_{f,z_0}$, which algebraically calculable.
(2) In Theorem 4.1, we prove that β_f is an invariant of the the ambient topological-type of the hypersuface and, in particular, is independent of the linear form z_0.
(3) In Theorem 5.2, we show that $\beta_f \geq 0$, and that $\beta_f = 0$ implies that $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

(4) We prove in Theorem 5.4 that, in fact, $\beta_f = 0$ is precisely equivalent to the hypotheses of our generalized Bobadilla conjecture, Conjecture 1.4. We thus remove the topology from the hypotheses of the conjecture.

Furthermore, we prove in this theorem that $\beta_f = 0$ implies that Σf has a single irreducible component, i.e., the cohomology does not "split" over various components. Hence, we are back in the setting of Bobadilla's original conjecture.

(5) In Theorem 5.6, we discuss the case where $\beta_f = 1$ and show that, in this case, the critical locus must have precisely two irreducible components.

We thank Javier Fernández de Bobadilla for discussing his conjecture with us, and Lê Dũng Tráng for valuable conversations on this topic.

2. Notation and Known Results

Our assumption that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma\big(f_{|_{V(z_0)}}\big) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbf{0}} V\left(z_0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) \;=\; 0$$

is precisely equivalent to saying that $V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right)$ is purely 1-dimensional (and not empty) at the origin and is properly intersected at the origin by $V(z_0)$.

In terms of analytic cycles,

$$V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) \;=\; \Gamma^1_{f,z_0} \;+\; \Lambda^1_{f,z_0},$$

where Γ^1_{f,z_0} is the relative polar curve of f, which consists of components not contained in Σf, and Λ^1_{f,z_0} is the 1-dimensional Lê cycle, which consists of components which are contained in Σf. See Definition 1.11 of [10].

Note that $V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)$ necessarily intersects Γ^1_{f,z_0} properly at $\mathbf{0}$, and that $V(z_0)$ intersects Λ^1_{f,z_0} properly at $\mathbf{0}$ by our assumption.

Letting C's denote the underlying reduced components of Σf at $\mathbf{0}$, at the origin, we have

$$\Lambda^1_{f,z_0} \;=\; \sum_C \mathring{\mu}_C [C],$$

where we use the square brackets to indicate that we are considering C as a cycle, and $\mathring{\mu}_C$ is the Milnor number of f, restricted to a generic hyperplane slice, at a point $\mathbf{p}$ on $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ close to $\mathbf{0}$. See Remark 1.19 of [10].

The intersection numbers $\left(\Gamma^1_{f,z_0} \cdot V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\left(\Lambda^1_{f,z_0} \cdot V(z_0)\right)_{\mathbf{0}}$ are the Lê numbers λ^0_{f,z_0} and λ^1_{f,z_0} (at the origin). See Definition 1.11 of [10]. Note that

$$\lambda^1_{f,z_0} = \sum_C \left(C \cdot V(z_0)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} \mathring{\mu}_C.$$

We give here a list of the numbers, other than the beta invariant, which will be used throughout this paper:

(1) As we have used several times already, $\mathring{\mu}_C$ is the Milnor number of a generic hyperplane slice at a point $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$ on the irreducible component C of Σf.

(2) We use the Lê numbers λ^0_{f,z_0} and λ^1_{f,z_0}.

(3) Throughout, we will use the Betti numbers $\tilde{b}_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{b}_n$ of the reduced integral cohomology of the Milnor fiber $F_{f,\mathbf{0}}$ of f at the origin in degrees $(n-1)$ and n. (We do not need to write "reduced" here and, yet, we do so because we are thinking of the vanishing cycles, not the nearby cycles.)

(4) We let $\sigma_f := \sum_C \mathring{\mu}_C$.

Note the lack of the intersection multiplicities in this summation. Thus, $\lambda^1_{f,z_0} = \sigma_f$ if and only if each irreducible component C of Σf is smooth and transversely intersected at the origin by $V(z_0)$.

(5) Since we are using cohomology, not homology, $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is free Abelian, but $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ may contain torsion. For each prime p, we let τ_p denote the number of p-torsion direct summands of $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$. With this notation, and our notation for the Betti numbers, the Universal Coefficient Theorem tells us that

$$\dim \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{b}_{n-1} + \tau_p \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim \widetilde{H}^{n}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{b}_{n} + \tau_p.$$

(6) Finally, we let c_f denote the number of irreducible components of Σf.

In Corollary 10.10 of [10] (though there is an indexing typographical error), we proved a fundamental result linking the Lê numbers and the Betti numbers of the Milnor fiber, which continues to hold with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.1. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda^1_{f,z_0}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda^0_{f,z_0}} \to \widetilde{H}^{n}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \qquad (\dagger),$$

and so,

$$\tilde{b}_{n-1} \leq \lambda^1_{f,z_0}, \quad \tilde{b}_n \leq \lambda^0_{f,z_0}, \quad \text{and } \tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_{n-1} \;=\; \lambda^0_{f,z_0} - \lambda^1_{f,z_0}.$$

In addition, for each prime number p, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\lambda^1_{f,z_0}} \xrightarrow{\delta_p} (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\lambda^0_{f,z_0}} \to \widetilde{H}^{n}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \to 0, \qquad (\ddagger)$$

and so,

$$\tilde{b}_{n-1} + \tau_p \leq \lambda^1_{f,z_0} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{b}_n + \tau_p \leq \lambda^0_{f,z_0}.$$

3. Definition of the beta invariant and examples

Definition 3.1. *We define the* **beta invariant***:*

$$\beta_f \;=\; \beta_{f,z_0} \;:=\; \left(\Gamma^1_{f,z_0} \cdot V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_C \mathring{\mu}_C \left[\left(C \cdot V(z_0)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} - 1\right] \;=$$

$$\lambda^0_{f,z_0} - \lambda^1_{f,z_0} + \sigma_f \;=\!=\; \tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_{n-1} + \sigma_f.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that the final expression above does not depend on z_0. Thus, the value of β_{f,z_0} is independent of the linear form z_0 (provided that f, restricted to where the linear form is zero, has an isolated critical point). Consequently, we may drop the z_0 from the notation, but sometimes include it to indicate what linear form will actually be used in the calculation of β_f.

Example 3.3. Suppose that all of the components C of Σf are smooth and transversely intersected by $V(z_0)$ at $\mathbf{0}$. Then,

$$\beta_{f,z_0} \;=\; \left(\Gamma^1_{f,z_0}\cdot V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} \;=\; \lambda^0_{f,z_0}.$$

Thus, the only time that β_{f,z_0} is really a "new" invariant is when the critical locus itself has a singular component.

Example 3.4. Suppose $f = z^2 + (y^2 - x^3)^d$, where $d \geq 2$. Both $f_{|_{V(x)}}$ and $f_{|_{V(y)}}$ have isolated critical points at the origin. We will calculate both $\beta_{f,x}$ and $\beta_{f,y}$, and see that they are the same.

First, we find that, as sets,

$$\Sigma f \;=\; V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial y},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right) \;=$$

$$V\big(d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}(-3x^2),\; d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}2y,\; 2z\big) \;=\; V(y^2-x^3,z).$$

Now, as cycles, we calculate

$$V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right) \;=\; V\big(d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}2y,\; 2z\big) \;=$$

$$V(y,z)+(d-1)V(y^2-x^3,z) \;=\; \Gamma^1_{f,x}+\Lambda^1_{f,x}.$$

Thus, we have $\Gamma^1_{f,x} = V(y,z)$, and that Σf consists of the single component $C = V(y^2-x^3,z)$, with $\overset{\circ}{\mu}_C = d-1$. Therefore,

$$\beta_{f,x} \;=\; \left(\Gamma^1_{f,x}\cdot V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_C \overset{\circ}{\mu}_C\left[\big(C\cdot V(x)\big)_{\mathbf{0}}-1\right] \;=$$

$$\big(V(y,z)\cdot V(d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}(-3x^2))\big)_{\mathbf{0}} \;-(d-1)(V(y^2-x^3,z)\cdot V(x))_{\mathbf{0}}+(d-1) \;=$$

$$\big(V(y,z)\cdot V((y^2-x^3)^{d-1})\big)_{\mathbf{0}} \;+\; \big(V(y,z)\cdot V(x^2))\big)_{\mathbf{0}} \;-2(d-1)+(d-1) \;=$$
$$3(d-1)+2-(d-1) \;=\; 2d.$$

To calculate $\beta_{f,y}$, we proceed similarly.

As cycles, we calculate

$$V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right) \;=\; V\big(d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}(-3x^2),\; 2z\big) \;=$$

$$2V(x,z)+(d-1)V(y^2-x^3,z) \;=\; \Gamma^1_{f,y}+\Lambda^1_{f,y}.$$

Thus, we have $\Gamma^1_{f,y} = 2V(x,z)$, and, of course, that Σf consists of the single component $C = V(y^2-x^3,z)$, with $\overset{\circ}{\mu}_C = d-1$. Therefore,

$$\beta_{f,y} \;=\; \left(\Gamma^1_{f,y}\cdot V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_C \overset{\circ}{\mu}_C\left[\big(C\cdot V(y)\big)_{\mathbf{0}}-1\right] \;=$$

$$\big(2V(x,z)\cdot V(d(y^2-x^3)^{d-1}(2y))\big)_{\mathbf{0}} \;-(d-1)(V(y^2-x^3,z)\cdot V(y))_{\mathbf{0}}+(d-1) \;=$$

$$\big(2V(x,z)\cdot V((y^2-x^3)^{d-1})\big)_{\mathbf{0}} \;+\; (2V(x,z)\cdot V(y)))_{\mathbf{0}} \;-3(d-1)+(d-1) \;=$$

$$4(d-1)+2-2(d-1) \;=\; 2d.$$

As promised, we see that $\beta_{f,x} = \beta_{f,y}$, even though the separate terms in the calculation are different.

4. Invariance

In this short section, we prove the topological invariance of β_f and σ_f.

Theorem 4.1. *If $f : (\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and $g : (\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are reduced with 1-dimensional critical loci at the origin, and $V(f)$ and $V(g)$ have the same local ambient topological-type at $\mathbf{0}$, then $\sigma_f = \sigma_g$ and $\beta_f = \beta_g$.*

Proof. As Lê proved in [6] and [7], the homotopy-type of the Milnor fiber is an invariant of the ambient topological-type for reduced functions f; thus, the topological invariance of β_f would follow from the topological invariance of $\sum_C \mathring{\mu}_C$. However, this latter topological invariance is easy to establish.

The singular set of $V(f)$ must map to the singular set under an ambient homeomorphism and, as we require the origin to map to the origin, the punctured singular set $\Sigma V(f) - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ must map to the punctured singular set, and so the components of Σf at the origin must map bijectively to the components of the singular set at the origin. Now the homotopy-type of the Milnor fiber of f at a point $\mathbf{p} \in \Sigma V(f)$ near $\mathbf{0}$ is invariant under an ambient homeomorphism, and this homotopy-type is that of a bouquet of $\mathring{\mu}_C$ $(n-1)$-spheres, where C is the component of $\Sigma V(f)$ containing $\mathbf{p}$. □

5. Non-negativity and Milnor fiber consequences

In this section, we first need to review a number of known results, and establish some notation.

Recall that our choice of $\mathcal{U}$ implies that, for each irreducible component C of Σf, $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is topologically a punctured disk and so, is homotopy-equivalent to a circle. There is an "internal" (also known as "vertical") monodromy action, h_C, on $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathring{\mu}_C}$ given by traveling once around this circle. This is the monodromy of the local system obtained by considering the complex of sheaves of vanishing cycles along f, and restricting to $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Now, a result of Siersma in [11], or an easy exercise using perverse sheaves (see the remark at the end of [11]) tells us that

Theorem 5.1. (Siersma) *There is an inclusion*

$$\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_C \ker\{\mathrm{id} - h_C\},$$

which commutes with the monodromy action on the vanishing cycles along f.

In particular,

$$\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \sigma_f,$$

and equality implies that each h_C is the identity.

Furthermore, this result holds with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients, where the proof remains identical. Thus,

$$\dim \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \leq \sigma_f.$$

Thus, we conclude immediately that:

Theorem 5.2. *For all primes p,*

$$\tilde{b}_{n-1} + \tau_p \leq \sigma_f \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}_n + \tau_p \leq \beta_f.$$

In particular, $0 \leq \tilde{b}_n \leq \beta_f$.

Recall that c_f denotes the number of irreducible components of Σf.

Proposition 5.3. *If* $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) = \sigma_f$*, then the trace of the Milnor monodromy of f on $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is $(-1)^n c_f$.*

Proof. Under the assumption, Theorem 5.1 tells us that the trace of the Milnor monodromy on $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is the sum of the traces of the Milnor monodromy on each $\ker\{\mathrm{id} - h_C\}$. As h_C is the identity, this is simply the Milnor monodromy of f at a point $\mathbf{p}$ on $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ near the origin. By A'Campo's result in [1], this is $(-1)^n$. □

The case where $\beta_f = 0$ is extremely restrictive.

Theorem 5.4. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\beta_f = 0$*; and*
(2) $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$*, and* $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma_f}$.

In addition, when these equivalent conditions hold, Σf has a single irreducible component C and the internal monodromy automorphism h_C is the identity.

Proof. That (2) implies (1) follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. That (1) implies (2) follows immediately from Theorem 5.2. That $c_f = 1$ follows from Proposition 5.3 and A'Campo's Theorem applied to the cohomology of $F_{f,\mathbf{0}}$. That the internal monodromy automorphism h_C is the identity is part of Theorem 5.1. □

Remark 5.5. The statement in Theorem 5.4 that Σf must have a single irreducible component at the origin is a "non-splitting result", of the flavor of the result proved independently by [8], Lazzeri in [5], and Gabrielov in [4]; however, those three works use the cohomology of Milnor fibers of f restricted to hyperplane slices, rather than looking at the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of f itself.

The case where $\beta_f = 1$ is also interesting to consider:

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that $\beta_f = 1$. Then, $c_f = 2$, and either*

(1) $\tilde{b}_n = 0$, $\tilde{b}_{n-1} = \sigma_f - 1$ *and, for all primes p, $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ has at most one direct summand with p-torsion; or*
(2) $\tilde{b}_n = 1$, $\tilde{b}_{n-1} = \sigma_f$*, and $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion (and so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$).*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, $\tilde{b}_n \leq \beta_f$, and we know that $\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_{n-1} = \beta_f - \sigma_f = 1 - \sigma_f$. So we obtain the two cases to consider: (1) where $\tilde{b}_n = 0$ and $\tilde{b}_{n-1} = \sigma_f - 1$ and (2) where $\tilde{b}_n = 1$ and $\tilde{b}_{n-1} = \sigma_f$. The conclusions about torsion in both cases follow from the p-torsion statement in Theorem 5.2. All that remains for us to show is the claim about c_f .

In case (2), by A'Campo's result, the Lefschetz number of the Milnor monodromy on $H^*(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is zero. By Proposition 5.3, the trace of the monodromy of f on $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is $(-1)^n c_f$. Since $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the trace of the monodromy of f in degree n is ± 1. Thus, we obtain that

$1 - c_f \pm 1 = 0$. Hence, $c_f = 0$ or 2, but we are assuming that f has a 1-dimensional critical locus, so $c_f \neq 0$.

Case (1) is very similar. Since $\tilde{b}_n = 0$, A'Campo's result tells us that the trace of the monodromy of f on $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z})$ is $(-1)^n$. On the other hand, restriction induces the inclusion

$$\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}};\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_C \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{p}_C};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma_f},$$

where $\mathbf{p}_C$ denotes a point of $C - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ close to the origin. This inclusion is compatible with the f monodromy action and, since $\tilde{b}_{n-1} = \sigma_f - 1$, the cokernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus T$, where T is pure torsion. The trace of the map induced by the f monodromy on this cokernel is ± 1. Thus, from additivity of the traces, we obtain $(-1)^n = (-1)^n c_f \pm 1$, and conclude once again that $c_f = 2$. □

As we saw in Example 3.3, if all of the components of Σf are smooth at $\mathbf{0}$, then, for generic z_0, $\beta_f = \lambda^0_{f,z_0}$; in this case, results on λ^0_{f,z_0} imply even stronger results when $\beta_f = 0$ or 1.

For instance, the non-splitting result of Lê -Lazzeri-Gabrielov immediately implies the first item below, while the main theorem of [9] immediately implies the second item.

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose that all of the components of Σf are smooth at $\mathbf{0}$.*

1. *If $\beta_f = 0$, then Σf has a unique (smooth) component C at the origin, along which the Milnor number of a generic hyperplane slice is constant. In particular, $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}) = 0$ and $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathring{\mu}_C}$*
2. *If $\beta_f = 1$, then $\widetilde{H}^n(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}) = 0$ and $\widetilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma_f - 1}$.*

6. Concluding Remarks

As we stated earlier, our interest in the beta invariant is when the critical locus of f is itself singular, since the reason we defined the beta invariant is because it arose naturally while we were considering the conjecture, Conjecture 1.3, of Fernández de Bobadilla. We now refer to this conjecture as the **beta conjecture** or the **β_f conjecture**.

The beta conjecture is related to another conjecture: Lê's conjecture (see, for instance, [2]). The suspicion is that the proof of the beta conjecture will be very difficult, and will require new techniques. Good candidates for counterexamples are also hard to produce.

We believe that viewing the problem in terms of β_f may help regardless of whether the conjecture is true or false. If the beta conjecture is true, describing the question in terms of β_f may lead to an algebraic proof. If the beta conjecture is false, showing that $\beta_f = 0$ may be the easiest way to verify that one has a counterexample.

However, even if a proof of, or counterexample to, the beta conjecture is difficult, there are other questions which are interesting and, perhaps, more approachable.

Question 6.1. Is the beta conjecture true if f is quasi-homogeneous?

Question 6.2. Is the beta conjecture true if Σf is contained in a smooth surface? That is, after an analytic change of coordinates, is the beta conjecture true if Σf is contained in a 2-plane?

It seems to be difficult to produce hypersurfaces with a critical locus which is 1-dimensional, singular, irreducible, and with a small β_f. The case where $\beta_f = 0$ is what is conjectured not to be possible. The case where $\beta_f = 1$ is not possible by Theorem 5.6. Our question is:

Question 6.3. Is it possible for $\beta_f = 2$ or 3, if f has a critical locus which is 1-dimensional, singular, and irreducible?

Related to the above question, we ask:

Question 6.4. Is there a relationship between β_f and the Milnor number $\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(\Sigma f)$ of the curve Σf, using the Milnor number of Buchweitz and Greuel in [3]? Is there, perhaps, some simple relationship, like something of the form $\beta_f \geq 2\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(\Sigma f)$?

References

[1] A'Campo, N. Le nombre de Lefschetz d'une monodromie. *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet., Series A*, 76:113–118, 1973.

[2] Bobadilla, J. A Reformulation of Lê's Conjecture. *Indag. Math.*, 17, no. 3:345–352, 2006.

[3] Buchweitz, R.-O., Greuel, G.-M. The Milnor Number and Deformations of Complex Curve Singularities. *Invent. math.*, 58:241–281, 1980.

[4] Gabrielov, A. M. Bifurcations, Dynkin Diagrams, and Modality of Isolated Singularities. *Funk. Anal. Pril.*, 8 (2):7–12, 1974.

[5] Lazzeri, F. *Some Remarks on the Picard-Lefschetz Monodromy.* Quelques journées singulières. Centre de Math. de l'Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, 1974.

[6] Lê, D. T. Calcul du Nombre de Cycles Évanouissants d'une Hypersurface Complexe. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 23:261–270, 1973.

[7] Lê, D. T. *Topologie des Singularites des Hypersurfaces Complexes*, volume 7-8 of *Astérisque*, pages 171–182. Soc. Math. France, 1973.

[8] Lê, D. T. Une application d'un théorème d'A'Campo a l'equisingularité. *Indag. Math.*, 35:403–409, 1973.

[9] Lê, D. T. and Massey, D. Hypersurface Singularities and Milnor Equisingularity. *Pure and Appl. Math. Quart., special issue in honor of Robert MacPherson's 60th birthday*, 2, no.3:893–914, 2006.

[10] Massey, D. *Lê Cycles and Hypersurface Singularities*, volume 1615 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[11] Siersma, D. Variation mappings on singularities with a 1-dimensional critical locus. *Topology*, 30:445–469, 1991.